UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,859	04/27/2005	Carlos Portasany Sanchez	P/189-362	4887
	7590 11/19/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			KARIKARI, KWASI	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Annlicentic		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence		10/522,859	PORTASANY SANCHEZ, CARLOS		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		KWASI KARIKARI	2617		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin.  eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>C</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matters, pr			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.			
	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rrection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applicat priority documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage		
Attachment		<b></b>	4070 440)		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/522,859 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/07/2008 and 11/05/2008 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,859 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nachef (U.S. 20020137545 A1), (hereinafter Nachef) in view of Nachef et al. (U.S. 20050207562 A1), (hereinafter Nachef II).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Nachef discloses a method/mobile telephone device (= mobile equipment 1, see, [0127-29] Fig. 1 and 2), comprising:

an integrated circuit card with a subscriber identity module or a universal subscriber identity module (= Sim smart card, see, Fig. 2), said card comprising a storage operable for storing at least one application (= smart card stores data, algorithms and Sim Toolkit applications, see [0005, 0015, 0023 and 0033-37]);

a device operable for remote access management of the card based on remote access message reception by mobile telephony (= Sim Toolkit applications communicates with applications installed in servers via short message channel, see [0008 and 0023]);

at least one data array manager module for managing data arrays of at least one application stored in the card, said at least one data array manager module (= programmable interface with application; and creation of SIM Toolkit application from applets, see [0100 and 0107-08]) comprising:

a receiver operable to receive, by means of a remote access message received by mobile telephony, at least one instruction for operating on at least one piece of data contained in an array of a specified application (= master application 30M sends proactive command included in short message to be executed by circuit 10 via slave Sim Toolkit application 22, see [0082, 0100-01 and 0124]);

an analyzer operable for analyzing said at least one\_instruction (= application 22 analyzes the data, see [0083]).

Although Nachef teaches modification of applications of SIM Toolkit (see [0171-72]); Nachef fails to mention "an accessing device operable for accessing said array, said accessing device further comprising a receiver operable for receiving from the specified application a requested reference for said array; the accessing device being operable for accessing said array based on said reference; and apparatus operable for performing at least one operation on said at least one piece of data in said array, according to said at least one instruction, without the necessity of deleting and rewriting the entire specified application stored in the card".

However, Nachef II teaches that application management between cards 2 and 3 and card 2 can perform administration operations such as **addition 201**, **modification 203** and accessing and **installing commands** applets on card 3; followed by an interactive display on the screen; and proactive command (see [0006,0041-44; 0072-75 0080-0114 and Fig. 1, item 3]); whereby the modification and installing reads on "without the necessity of deleting and rewriting **the entire** specified application stored in the card".

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef II into the system of Nachef for the benefit of achieving

a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

Page 5

**Regarding claims 2 and 10,** as recited in claims 1 and 9, Nachef fails to disclose "operable for asking the specified application for said reference of said array.

However, Nachef II teaches operable for asking the specified application for said reference of said array (= deletion and modification operation on data or applets present in the Sim card, see Abstract and [0006,0041-44; 0072-75 0080-0114 ).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Nachef II into the system of Nachef for the benefit of achieving a system whereby SIM card that can be modified based on instructions received from an administrator which is supplied with SIM Toolkit standard (see Abstract).

**Regarding claim 3**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef discloses that said specified application is a SIM Application Toolkit or a Universal SIM Application Toolkit application (=Toolkit applications, see [0023 and 0041-47]).

**Regarding claim 4**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef discloses the device, wherein said data array manager module is configured to be able to access arrays of a plurality of said applications (= API are programmable interfaces with applications, see [0083, 0105 and 0107-08]).

**Regarding claim 5**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef further discloses the device, wherein said data array manager module is part of said specific application having said data array to be accessed by said data array manager module (= API are programmable interfaces with applications, see [0083, 0105 and 0107-08]).

Page 6

**Regarding claim 6,** as recited in claim 5, Nachef further discloses the device, wherein said data array manager module is an Application Programming interface (= API are programmable interfaces with applications, see [0083, 0105 and 0107-08]).

**Regarding claim 7**, as recited in claim 1, Nachef further discloses the device, wherein said device operable for remote access management is based on a GSM 03.48 standard or on a 3GPP 23.048 standard (see [0097 and 0120]).

Regarding claim 8, as recited in claim 3, Nachef further discloses that the device comprising a terminal supporting said Subscribe Identity Module (SIM) Application Toolkit or said Universal SIM Application Toolkit and also at least one of a supporting Data Download, and a class "e" terminal supporting the SIM Toolkit commands for channel management (see [0127-32]).

**Regarding claim 11**, as recited in claim 9, Nachef further discloses receiving said message in a terminal of subscriber equipment; sending said message from said terminal to the card; forwarding the at least one instruction via a remote access

Art Unit: 2617

manager module in the card to a data array manager module identified in the message (see [0082-83 and 0107-08]).

**Regarding claim 12**, as recited in claim 11, Nachef further discloses that the message is of the Data Download type (see [0127-32]).

**Regarding claim 13**, as recited in claim 12, Nachef discloses sending of said message to the card by an ENVELOPE command (see [0068]).

**Regarding claim 14,** as recited in claim 11, Nachef discloses the method wherein the message is sent to the card through a Bearer Independent Protocol-based channel (= short message is use a transport technology, see [0023 and 0110]).

**Regarding claim 15**, as recited in claim 13, Nachef further discloses the method, wherein the at least one instruction is forwarded to a data array manager module identified by a Toolkit Application Reference field of the message (see Par. [0063]).

## CONCLUSION

**Examiner's Note**: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully

Art Unit: 2617

consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. SEE MPEP 2141.02 [R-5] VI. PRIOR ART MUST BE CONSIDERED IN ITS ENTIRETY, INCLUDING DISCLOSURES THAT TEACH AWAY FROM THE CLAIMS: A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, i.e., as a whole, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention. W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984) In re Fulton, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004). >See also MPEP §2123.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (9am - 7pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on 571-272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kwasi Karikari/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 2617.

> /Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Page 8